School Plan 2011



School Context

Al Amanah College is a non-selective co-educational, Islamic faith based college, operating from two campuses, Bankstown: K-6 and Liverpool: K-12. The College is committed to pursuing academic excellence and the fostering of individual abilities in a caring and challenging educational environment.

The college was first established at Bankstown, where classes commenced with 88 students K-Yr3 in 1998 and from there the school grew rapidly. The Bankstown campus has now reached its maximum capacity with 290 (K-6) in 2011.

In 2002 the College grew further through the opening of the Liverpool Campus with 168 students, where secondary classes commenced with Yr7 then expanded to year 12 in 2007. The Liverpool Campus is located in the heart of Liverpool City catering for K-12 with 600 primary and secondary students.

The school is multicultural, with almost 100% of students from language backgrounds other than English, predominately Arabic. As Arabic and Religious Studies form an integral part of our school curriculum, extra teaching staff for Arabic and Religion are employed for this purpose.

Parents and the local community are encouraged to support the school through participation in school programs and ongoing provision of additional school resources.

Al Amanah College is considered to be a major institution catering for the cultural needs of the Muslim community and a school that offers a diverse bilingual teaching curriculum. For this reason the college has become an attraction to parents who see in it a bright and distinguished future for their children.

| Priority areas | Intended Outcomes: |
|--|--|
| 1. Literacy – Reading and Writing | 1.1 An improvement of Literacy NAPLAN results |
| 2. Numeracy – Number, Patterns & Algebra | 1.2 An improved students' understanding of text types and their structures, grammar and vocabulary |
| 3. Education and Technology | 1.3 Maximised learning opportunities for ESL learners within the mainstream classroom |
| 4. Teacher Quality | |
| 5. Student Engagement | 2.1 An improvement of numeracy NAPLAN results |
| 6. Parent partnerships | 2.2 Increased levels of numeracy achievement |
| | 3.1 Increased level of staff competence in the use of technology to be integrated into literacy teaching practices. |
| | 3.2 Increased level of staff competence in the use of technology to be integrated into numeracy teaching practices |
| | 3.3 More student-centred learning in the classroom through the use of technology. |
| | 4.1 Better quality teaching and learning |
| | 4.2 A higher quality of academic leadership |
| | 5.1 A higher level of student engagement in literacy |
| | 5.2 A higher level of student engagement in numeracy. |
| | 6.1 An increased participation of NESB families in the school curriculum |
| | 6.2 Enhanced community relationships. |

Targets

- **1.1** Reading: Increase the number of Kindergarten students of level 5 PM Benchmark by 5 % from 73% to 78% by the end of 2011
- **1.2** Reading: Increase the number of students from Y1 to Y6 reaching the school recommended PM Benchmark levels per grade by the following percentages by the end of 2011

Bankstown: Y1→45% to 50% - Y2→63% to 68% - Y3→74% to 79% - Y4→ 76% to 81% - Y5→78% to 83% - Y6→89% to 94% Liverpool: Y1→64%% to 69% - Y2→84% to 95% - Y3→81% to 81% - Y4→ 83% to 83% - Y5→90% to 93% - Y6→98% to 98%

- **1.3** Reading: Increase the number of students in the top 2 bands in Year 3, Year 5, Year 7 and in Year 9 by the following percentages by Term 3 of 2011 Bankstown: Y3 \rightarrow 49% to 50% - Y5 \rightarrow 18% to 22% -Liverpool: Y3 \rightarrow 32% to 33% - Y5 \rightarrow 13% to 17% - Y7 \rightarrow 12% to 14% - Y9 \rightarrow 9% to 10%
- 1.4Reading: Decrease the number of students in the bottom 2 bands in Year 3, Year 5, Year 7 and in Year 9 by the following percentages by Term 3 of 2011
Bankstown: $Y3 \rightarrow 6\%$ to 4% $Y5 \rightarrow 15\%$ to 11% -
Liverpool: $Y3 \rightarrow 7\%$ to 7% $Y5 \rightarrow 17\%$ to 17% $Y7 \rightarrow 27\%$ to 23% $Y9 \rightarrow 40\%$ to 36%
- **1.5** Writing: Increase the number of students in the top 2 bands in Year 3, Year 5, Year 7 and in Year 9 by the following percentages by Term 3 of 2011 Bankstown: Y3 \rightarrow 69% to 70% - Y5 \rightarrow 30% to 32% Liverpool: Y3 \rightarrow 63% to 64% - Y5 \rightarrow 40% to 42% - Y7 \rightarrow 17% to 21% - Y9 \rightarrow 2% to 6%
- **1.6** Writing: Decrease the number of students in the bottom 2 bands in Year 3, Year 5, Year 7 and in Year 9 by the following percentages by Term 3 of 2011 Bankstown: Y3 \rightarrow 0% to 0% - Y5 \rightarrow 4% to 2% -Liverpool: Y3 \rightarrow 0% to 0% - Y5 \rightarrow 5% to 3% - Y7 \rightarrow 17% to 12% - Y9 \rightarrow 58% to 53%
- **1.7** School Certificate: To increase the percentage of students in year 10 who are scoring in band 6 for English from 0% to 5%.
- **1.8** Higher School Certificate: To remain at zero% the percentage of students in year 12 Advanced English in the bottom three bands.
- **1.9** Higher School Certificate: To bring the z-score for Advanced English from being within the "within state" range to 'above state'.

1.10 Students are currently achieving 'D' and 'E' grades in Writing. The following percentages are to be decreased by Term 3 in 2011:

Bankstown – Current percentage of 'E' grades is 4.76%. This is to be decreased by 2%.

-Current percentage of 'D' grades is 21.43%. This is to be decreased by 18%.

Liverpool – Primary:

Current percentage of 'E grades is 12%. This is to be decreased by 10%.

Current percentage of 'D' grades is 14%. This is to be decreased by 12%.

Secondary:

Current percentage of 'D' grades is 5.5%. This is to be decreased by 3%.

- 2.1 Number, Patterns and Algebra: Increase the number of students in the top 2 bands in Year 3 and in Year 5 by the following percentages by Term 3 of 2011
 Bankstown: Y3→41% to 45% Y5→26% to 28%
 Liverpool: Y3→21% to 26% Y5→21% to 23%
- 2.2 Number, Patterns and Algebra: Decrease the number of students in the bottom 2 bands in Year 3 and in Year 5 by the following percentages by Term 3 of 2011

Bankstown: Y3→11% to 7% - Y5→18% to 14% Liverpool: Y3→17% to 15% - Y5→15% to 10%

- 2.3 All grades across all LIEN and LIN focus areas (Counting, Place Value, Addition and Subtraction, Multiplication and division) to be improved by one Summary growth point by the end of 2011.
- **3.1** By term 3 all teachers have integrated one ICT topic and activity in Literacy and Numeracy.
- 4.1 Teaching and executive staff use formal, academic English in their teaching practices and in the school environment.
- **5.1** increase the percentage of students who enjoy Mathematics 55% to 65%.
- **6.1** Increase the percentage of parents participating in school life from 51% to 60%.

School Priority Area 1: LITERACY – READING AND WRITING

Intended Outcomes 2011:

- **1.1** An improvement of Literacy NAPLAN results
- **1.2** An improved students' understanding of text types and their structures, grammar and vocabulary
- **1.3** Maximised learning opportunities for ESL learners within the mainstream classroom

| Reform | Indicators | Strategies | Timeframe 2011 | Responsibility | Resource Allocation and Funding Structure |
|--------|---|---|-------------------|----------------|--|
| R5 | School is becoming data driven by | Data Analysis Team to collect and analyse data to | Oct 2011 | NPI School | -Relief |
| | analysing 2011 internal and external data | review and evaluate 2011 plan and develop a | | Plan Team | 8 teachers x 2 days = |
| | including the NAPLAN results. | Revised Situational Analysis. | | | 16 days |
| | | | | | \$315 X 16 |
| | | | | | \$2,520 |
| | | | | | -Superannuation |
| | | | | | \$227 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total <u>\$2,747</u> |
| R4 | Teachers are regularly using and | Use SMART for a whole school analysis of NAPLAN | Complete | Primary | |
| | analysing NAPLAN data. | to identify strengths and weaknesses with | beginning | Coordinators | |
| | | alignment to all syllabus outcomes. | Term one | | |
| | Individual/group analysis and planning | | and end of | Secondary | |
| | using SMART to inform Literacy teaching | Incorporate teaching strategies from Smart for | term three | Coordinators | |
| | strategies are evident in the classroom | identified outcomes into teaching programs with | | | |
| | teachers' program | supervision and follow up from coordinators | | | |

| R1 | Stage coordinators working collaboratively with Support Teacher and other teachers in planning, programming, implementations and assessments. Quality of teaching numeracy and literacy is evident in teaching practice. | Provide further training to the Stage Coordinators by the Support teacher. They will be given release time to work with the Support Teacher and Coordinators every term. The teaching load for the stage coordinators will be reduced and they will have the opportunity to mentor and team teach new staff. | Dec 2011 | Primary Coordinators | -Relief Bank. Lower & Upper primary mentors: 2 teachers x 8 days = 16 days. Liverpool- Stage Coordinators ES1, S1, S2, S3: 4 teachers x 8 days=32 days. Total days=48 \$315 X 48 \$15,120 -Superannuation \$1,361 |
|----|---|--|----------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | <u>\$16,481</u> |

| R1 | ESL support is catering for students with | Provide and identify an executive member with | March | Principal | -Employment |
|----|---|--|-------|--------------|----------------------|
| | ESL needs. | English as a second language expertise and special | 2011 | | 1 Learning Support |
| | | needs to lead whole school professional learning | | Primary | leader |
| | The classroom teacher has grown in | support and in ESL pedagogy and student | | Coordinators | |
| | capacity to implement ESL teaching | assessments. | | | \$88,281 |
| | strategies into their programs. | | | | |
| | | Implement a strong team teaching procedure as a | | | Superannuation |
| | The Learning Support and Classroom | whole school approach to professional learning. | | | \$7,945 |
| | Teachers are beginning to use the | The learning Support Leader and the coordinators | | | |
| | procedures in the IEP Guidelines Support | will train the all six Learning Support Teachers and | | | |
| | Document. | classroom teachers to work collaboratively with | | | |
| | | other teachers to improve their implementation of | | | 2 Learning Support |
| | Teachers are beginning to use the ESL | ESL teaching strategies. | | | Teachers 2X \$59,984 |
| | scales in their programming and integrate | | | | \$119,968 |
| | activities for all students across the class. | The coordinators will mentor the classroom | | | Superannuation |
| | | teachers in order to build up their teaching | | | \$10,797 |
| | | capacity. The Learning Support Teachers will team | | | |
| | | teach with the classroom teachers by working | | | |
| | | collaboratively in planning, programming and | | | 4 Learning Support |
| | | assessing student learning needs. | | | Teachers 4X \$59,984 |
| | | | | | \$239,936 |
| | | The Learning Support Teachers will provide | | | Superannuation |
| | | assessment workshops during staff meetings in | | | \$21,594 |
| | | Term 2 where classroom teachers scaffold | | | |
| | | assessments and mark in pairs. | | | |
| | | The Learning Support Teachers will provide ESL | | | |
| | | scales workshop during staff meetings in Term 3 | | | |
| | | for classroom teacher to understand ESL scales and | | | |
| | | use them in their programs. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | <u>\$488,521</u> |

| R4 | Better engagement and achievement in | Provide students with an intensive, structured, | June 2011 | Primary | -Purchase |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | reading for targeted students through | systematic program of instruction and related skills | | Coordinators | Multilit for High School |
| | increased reading of books. | carried out within a positive, one to one, teaching | | | \$1,447 |
| | | environment. | | | |
| | Students have become competent | | | | Multilit Extension |
| | readers and enjoy reading. | Engage selected teachers in professional | | | \$2,894 |
| | | development in order to deliver the MULTILIT | | | |
| | | extension program to incorporate effective tuition | | | Materials for students |
| | | in phonics and word attack skills, sight word | | | \$689 |
| | | recognition and reading in context. (For primary | | | |
| | | support teachers). | | | Materials for reading |
| | | Duo ide Multilit ecure for Coordon current | | | program |
| | | Provide Multilit course for Secondary support teachers. Purchase a Multilit library. | | | \$400 |
| | | teachers. Purchase a Multint library. | | | -PD |
| | | Train parent helpers in using Multilit. | | | Training – tutor (para- |
| | | Train parent neipers in using warding. | | | professional) |
| | | | | | \$349 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | \$5,779 |

| R4 | 'Reading to Learn' strategies evident in literacy programming and teaching in the primary school | Continue Professional Development for teachers in 'Reading To Learn' 6 days during non-teaching terms 1-3. Primary Coordinators to assign to one of the stage coordinators the task of School Literacy Leader per campus to mentor and support teachers during their RFF time by modelling R2L lessons and through collaborative planning and team teaching. | Dec 2011 | Primary Coordinators | -PD Reading to learn 2 nd year continued PD 6 days \$7,200 |
|----|--|---|----------|-------------------------|--|
| | | The School Literacy Leaders to support all students in their classes to successfully achieve the syllabus outcomes. Involve and engage students in a sequence of activities that focus initially at a level of text which, although age and stage appropriate, is a level beyond which students could achieve independently. | | | -Purchase R2L resources \$389.80 each Bankstown 13 classes Liverpool 17 classes 30 X \$389.80 \$11,694 |
| | | | | | Total <u>\$18.894</u> |

| R4 | Students read and write different text | Continue to implement effective literacy programs | June 2011 | Primary and | -Purchase |
|----|--|--|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | types and structures with better grammar | across the whole school | | Secondary | Text type posters |
| | and punctuation. | | | Coordinators | 13 classes \$49.95 X 13 |
| | | Maintain an effective guided reading program that | | | \$649 |
| | | caters for diverse reading abilities within the | | | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | | classroom based on new strategies in collaboration | | | Literacy Games |
| | | with the Support Teacher. | | | Bankstown \$338.14x2 |
| | | | | | \$676 |
| | | Provide students with greater access to levelled | | | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | | home reading books to enhance their skill and | | | 'Premier Reading |
| | | vocabulary | | | Challenge' books |
| | | | | | \$10000 each for |
| | | Continue to establish a 'classroom library' for each | | | (Primary Bank. Primary |
| | | classroom in order for students to participate in | | | Liv. & High School Liv.) |
| | | silent reading books of interest. | | | \$10000 X 3 |
| | | | | | \$30,000 |
| | | Increase the amount of home readers. | | | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | | increase the amount of nome reducts. | | | CORE Library |
| | | | | | Scholastics Bank. , Liv. |
| | | | | | \$1697 X 2 = \$3394 |
| | | | | | \$1,429 |
| | | | | | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | | | | | \$3394 - 1429 |
| | | | | | \$1,965 |
| | | | | | Blast Off Material |
| | | | | | Bank. & Liv. \$1000 X 2 |
| | | | | | \$2,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Initial Total |
| | | | | | \$36,719 |
| | | | | | Minus contribution |
| | | | | | from School |
| | | | | | <u>-\$32,754</u> |
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | <u>\$3,965</u> |

| R1 | High School teachers implement literacy | The Learning Support Teacher will continue to | April 2011 | Secondary | -Relief |
|----|--|---|------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| | across all subjects. Teachers scaffold | conduct literacy training for High School teachers | | Coordinator | High School teachers |
| | literacy components for assessment tasks | to improve their understanding of the language | | | to train with Learning |
| | and classroom activities. | and the literacy demands of their own subjects. | | | Support Teacher once |
| | | | | | a term. |
| | Teachers are showing interest in all | The High School Learning support team to continue | | | 5 relief teachers for 3 |
| | aspects of literacy in the classroom. | reviving literacy across all subjects in the High | | | terms. |
| | | School. This revival of literacy across subjects will | | | 5 X \$315 X 3 |
| | | be sustained through the training of teachers. | | | \$4,725 |
| | | | | | -Superannuation |
| | | Utilise the skills of one of the Learning Support | | | \$426 |
| | | Teachers for High School only. | | | |
| | | Continue the employment of the reading | | | -Employment |
| | | coordinator on a part time basis to organise | | | Reading Coordinator |
| | | reading groups and activities catered to specific | | | Part time. |
| | | groups of students in the High School. In particular, | | | \$24,400 |
| | | groups for boys and designing reading programs | | | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | | that will engage boys in more reading for leisure. | | | -Superannuation |
| | | | | | \$2,160 |
| | | | | | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Initial Total |
| | | | | | \$31,711 |
| | | | | | Minus contribution |
| | | | | | from School |
| | | | | | -\$26,560 |
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | <u>\$5,151</u> |

| R4 | Students are reading and using higher literacy skills and finding hidden meaning in questions. | Continue to integrate the usage the teaching strategies learnt from the Gail Brown program within the literacy curriculum in grades 2-6. The Learning Support Teachers will use the EXTRA Skills Materials which are designed for students who have additional needs with their support groups. Integrate NAPLAN style questions in the curriculum; teachers are to do that during their | Dec 2011 | Primary Coordinators | |
|----|---|---|--|---|--|
| R4 | Students in Primary and High School are answering inferential comprehension questions and are developing reading strategies to work independently. | planning days. Teach specific comprehension strategies as a whole class. Integrate the teaching strategies from the CARS and STARS program to be incorporated into the literacy curriculum. The Learning Support Teachers to work collaboratively with the classroom teachers to train and assist teachers with the implementation of relevant teaching strategies through their support time. | July 2011 | Secondary Coordinator Primary Coordinators | Free CARS and STARS online training for Learning Support Teachers |
| R3 | Scoping and sequencing between stages 3 and 4 is well established in literacy and numeracy. Secondary coordinators are meeting regularly with primary staff and coordinators for planning, reviewing of strategies and programming. | Continue to hold team meetings between stage 3 and 4. One for literacy and one for numeracy. Hold regular meetings to form a clear scope and sequence in line with teaching programs. Complete and establish the transition program | 1 st meeting April 2011 Term one and three | Secondary Coordinators | -Travel Expenses \$1,000 -Purchase Transition Program resources \$1,000 Total \$2,000 |

| R4 | Teaching staff and executives are using | Run a follow up TAFE Professional Development for | May2011 | Deputy | -PD |
|----|--|--|---------|-----------|--------------------------|
| | formal, academic English with students | Secondary School teaching staff in English courses | | Principal | English Academic |
| | and throughout school time. Teachers are | to continue their literacy improvement and | | | Course for School staff |
| | implementing the strategies learnt in PD | language development for the purpose of using | | | \$3000 per 20 staff. For |
| | courses in English and literacy. | more formal English across all subjects. | | | 20 staff |
| | | | | | 1 X \$3000 |
| | | Introduce the OET for new teachers as part of the | | | \$3,000 |
| | | new employment selection process. | | | -Catering |
| | | | | | \$600 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | <u>\$3,600</u> |

School Priority Area 2: <u>NUMERACY – NUMBER, PATTERNS & ALGEBRA</u>

Intended Outcome 2011:

- 2.1 An improvement of numeracy NAPLAN results
- **2.2** Increased levels of numeracy achievement.

| Reform | Indicators | Strategies | Timeframe 2011 | Responsibility | Resource Allocation and Funding Structure |
|--------|---|--|---|--|--|
| R4 | All teachers regularly using and analysing NAPLAN Data. Individual/group analysis and planning using SMART to inform Literacy teaching strategies are evident in the classroom teachers' program | Provide professional development during staff meetings on how to use NAPLAN data and teaching strategies in the SMART package. Use SMART for a whole school analysis of NAPLAN to identify strengths and weaknesses with alignment to all syllabus outcomes. Incorporate teaching strategies from Smart data for identified outcomes into teaching and learning program. During planning meetings once a term, coordinators will ensure that SMART Data teaching strategies are integrated in their | Complete beginning Term one and end of term three | Primary Coordinators Secondary Coordinators | |
| R4 | Primary students have a deeper understanding of numbers, patterns and algebra, and achieving better NAPLAN results. | programs. Support teachers will use NAPLAN results to design and implement learning plans for at-risk students to cater for their individual needs. This will be a whole school approach. | April 2011 | Principal | |

| R4 | Increased opportunities for the usage of | Implement hands-on activities to engage | Dec 2011 | Primary | -Purchase |
|----|---|---|----------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | concrete, hands-on Mathematics activities | students' learning and understanding of the | | Coordinators | K-6 Daily Workout |
| | in the classroom are evident. | Mathematical concepts | | | Books \$45.95 per set x |
| | | | | | 6 sets x 2 campuses |
| | | | | | \$45.95 X 6 X 2 |
| | | | | | \$552 |
| | | | | | Targeting Maths |
| | | | | | Teachers' resource |
| | | | | | book with CD for IWB |
| | | | | | use \$69.95 per set x 2 |
| | | | | | per grade x 7 grades x 2 |
| | | | | | campuses |
| | | | | | \$69.95X2X7X2 |
| | | | | | \$1,959 |
| | | | | | ESL Maths Support Kit |
| | | | | | \$585.50 per kit x 2 |
| | | | | | campuses \$585.5X2 |
| | | | | | \$1,171 |
| | | | | | Teacher Resource |
| | | | | | Books \$410 each x 2 |
| | | | | | campuses \$410X2 |
| | | | | | \$820 |
| | | | | | Numeracy Games |
| | | | | | \$457.50 per set x 2 |
| | | | | | campuses \$457.50X2 |
| | | | | | \$915 |
| | | | | | Maths sub-strand Kits |
| | | | | | \$1175 per kit x 2 |
| | | | | | campuses \$1175X2 |
| | | | | | \$2,350 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | <u>\$7,767</u> |

| R4 | Students demonstrate a higher ability in numbers awareness. | Run follow up workshops for primary teachers on the LIEN/LIN course. Primary coordinators to assign per campus to one of the stage coordinators the task of School Numeracy Leader who will then mentor and support teachers during their RFF time through planning and programming. The School Numeracy Leaders will provide opportunities for teachers to build teacher capacity through collaborative planning and team teaching opportunities. Continue to implement LIEN/LIN strategies and working mathematically strategies in the teaching programs of all High School Maths teachers and all primary teachers. Provide support to all teachers (K-6) in class working with the Learning Support Teacher. Update LIEN/LIN games in order to engage more | Sept 2011 | Primary Coordinators Secondary Coordinators | -PD LIEN/LIN Follow up for \$1200 each, 4 times / year /campus equals 8 \$1200 x 8 \$9,600 <i>cost to school</i> -Relief 8 Relief Days X \$315 \$2,520 <i>cost to school</i> Superannuation \$227 <i>cost to school</i> -Resources \$547.50 per class Bankstown x 13 classes Liverpool x 17classes Equals 30 classes 30 x 547 50 |
|----|--|---|-----------|--|--|
| | | | | | - |

| R3 | Scoping and sequencing between stages 3 and 4 are well established in literacy and numeracy. Secondary coordinators are organising regular meetings with primary staff and coordinators for planning and reviewing of strategies and programming. | Team up one teacher for literacy and one for numeracy to establish continuum between stage 3 and 4. Hold regular meetings to form a clear scope and sequence with programs. | First meeting April 2011 | Secondary Coordinators | |
|----|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

School Priority Area 3: Education and Technology

Intended Outcome 2011:

- **3.1** Increased level of staff competence in the use of technology to be integrated into literacy teaching practices.
- **3.2** Increased level of staff competence in the use of technology to be integrated into numeracy teaching practices
- **3.3** More student-centred learning in the classroom through the use of technology.

| Reform | Indicators | Strategies | Timeframe 2011 | Responsibility | Resource Allocation and Funding Structure |
|--------|--|--|-------------------|----------------|--|
| R4 | Teachers show high level of skill in the | Purchase more IWBs to facilitate interactive | July 2011 | Secondary | -Purchase |
| | use of Interactive White Boards (IWB) | learning and increase student engagement across | | Coordinator | IWB 5937.58 each |
| | | the whole school through a student-centred | | | including data |
| | | learning approach. | | Primary | projectors for classes: |
| | | | | Coordinators | -7 Primary Bankstown |
| | | Use interactive whiteboards to enhance teaching | | | -7 Primary Liverpool |
| | | and learning of Literacy and Numeracy. Plan and | | | -6 High School |
| | | program hands-on activities that can be directly | | | Liverpool, equals 20 |
| | | transferred to classroom practice across a range | | | IWBs |
| | | of KLAs. | | | |
| | | | | | 11 IWBs to be funded |
| | | Apply current knowledge and skills in the use of | | | through NP |
| | | ICT in the classroom to meet syllabus outcomes. | | | \$5937.58X11 |
| | | Use a variety of appropriate teaching strategies | | | \$65,313 |
| | | and resources to make content meaningful to | | | |
| | | students. | | | School to fund 9 IWBs |
| | | | | | \$5937.58 X 9 |
| | | | | | \$53,438 |
| | | Engage teachers in Primary and High School in | | | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | | Interactive Whiteboard professional | | | 1 laptop 1200 each, per |
| | | development to deliver curriculum using | | | IWB equals 20 laptops |
| | | technology and to extend and refine teaching and | | | 11 laptops to be |

| loorning practices | funded through ND |
|--|-------------------------|
| learning practices. | funded through NP |
| | \$1200 X 11 |
| IWB champions; one from each campus to train | \$13,200 |
| other teachers during designated staff meetings | School to fund 9 |
| in the use of technology and design and | laptops \$1200 X 9 |
| implement more student centred activities across | \$10,800 |
| technology based strategies. | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | Computer Room LCD |
| | screen with a Touch |
| | Screen overlay |
| | Bankstown |
| | \$5,000 |
| | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | -PD |
| | ICT Promethean IWBs : |
| | 'Level 1' (Bankstown |
| | Primary, Liverpool |
| | Primary, Liverpool High |
| | School) 3 X \$849 |
| | \$2,547 |
| | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | 'Level 2' to develop |
| | IWB champions (12 |
| | staff members) |
| | \$849 |
| | COST TO SCHOOL |
| | |
| | Initial Total |
| | \$151,147 |
| | Minus contribution |
| | from School |
| | -\$72,634 |
| | Total |
| | \$78,513 |
| | <u>378,313</u> |

| R3 | Increased use of current in-school ICT technologies with demonstrated quality teaching and learning to meet syllabuses outcomes | Employ ICT Specialist teacher to work across both campuses on programming strategies and to allow access and sharing of resources. ICT Specialist teacher team teaches to ensure usage of interactive materials by teachers for programming purposes and meaningful and engaging lessons. Programming for a student-centred learning approach with visual stimulus where students use a variety of visual technologies to display their work. | May 2011 | Secondary Coordinator Primary Coordinators | -Employment Experienced ICT Teacher for both campuses to work on programming strategies. \$72,369 -Superannuation \$6,514 |
|----|--|---|------------|---|---|
| R4 | Teaching strategies in classrooms indicate that new technology resources are used. More effective usage of interactive technology in teaching and learning is evident. The availability of additional resources is evident in every day teaching. | Engage and empower students to be involved in hands-on learning activities that will be transferred into classroom practice. Use the digital resources to enhance effective literacy instruction. Use digital mathematical resources to assist concept development in Primary Mathematics. | April 2011 | Primary Coordinators | Total <u>\$78,883</u> -PD 'Moving beyond the basics: integrating IWB into teaching practices' 'Literacy Goes Digital'& 'Digital Mathematics' K-10 2 presenters- Primary & High School \$1200X2 \$2,400 |
| | | | | | Total <u>\$2,400</u> |

School Priority Area 4: <u>TEACHER QUALITY</u>

Intended Outcome 2011:

4.1 Better quality teaching and learning

4.2 A higher quality of academic leadership

| Reform | Indicators | Strategies | Timeframe 2011 | Responsibility | Resource Allocation and Funding Structure |
|--------|---|---|-------------------|-------------------------|--|
| R1 | Coordinators and Principal have a | The Principal and the coordinators attended the | 26-27 | Principal's | -PD |
| | deeper and better understanding on | ISLC Leadership course in September to review and | September | Deputies | NPI Workshop 2 days |
| | how to plan and lead a whole School change to deliver improved students' outcomes. | improve the 2011 School Plan. | 2011 | | \$900 |
| | | | | | Total <u>\$900</u> |
| R4 | ESL strategies are used by all teachers to support the teaching and learning of all at risk students. | The Learning Support Leader is leading whole school professional learning in ESL pedagogy and student assessment. | April 2011 | Principal's Deputies | |
| | | Provide in-class professional learning through team teaching. | | | |
| | | Implement effective ESL strategies that promote | | | |
| | | language learning across the whole school. | | | |
| | | Use the ESL Scales to assess English language | | | |
| | | proficiency and to support teaching and learning. | | | |

| R1 | Teaching staff and executives are using formal, academic English with students | Run a follow up TAFE Professional Development for Secondary School teaching staff in English courses | May2011 | Deputy Principal | Cost already accounted for in previous section |
|----|--|--|----------|---------------------|--|
| | and throughout school time. Teachers | to continue their literacy improvement and | | | |
| | are implementing the strategies learnt | language development for the purpose of using | | | |
| | in PD courses in English and literacy. | more formal English across all subjects. | | | |
| | | Introduce the OET for new teachers as part of the | | | |
| | | new employment selection process. | | | |
| R6 | Students settle quickly into school | Conduct School Readiness Assessment for new | Oct 2011 | Primary | Cost already accounted |
| | routines and teaching programs are | Kindergarten students in Term 3. Kindergarten | | Coordinators | for in previous section |
| | readily designed to meet the needs of Kindy students. | teachers to conduct assessments. | | | |
| | | Run parents sessions to support their child's | | | |
| | | transition to school in term four over a four week | | | |
| | | period for one session per week. | | | |
| | | Establish contact with local preschools in | | | |
| | | preparation for Kindergarten transition programs. | | | |
| R5 | Parents' views are accounted into | Conduct annual information and planning | Dec 2011 | Primary | -Catering |
| | planning. | workshop for both campuses to discuss 2011 | | Coordinators | \$45 per head for 200 |
| | | achievements. | | | people(whole school |
| | Board members are more informed and | | | | staff, board members, |
| | engaged in planning due to attending. | Invite School Board members and other | | | few VIP, SRC) |
| | | contributing and active school community | | | \$45X200 |
| | The development of a whole school | members to become more informed and | | | \$9,000 |
| | community understanding regarding | participate in whole school planning. | | | -Purchases |
| | where the school is and where it is | | | | Decorations for School |
| | heading in its educational and | | | | Hall |
| | academic whole school development. | | | | \$1,500 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | \$10,500 |

School Priority Area 5: STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Intended Outcome 2011:

5.1 A higher level of student engagement across literacy

5.2 A higher level of student engagement across numeracy.

| Reform | Indicators | Strategies | Timeframe 2011-11 | Responsibility | Resource Allocation and Funding Structure |
|--------|---|---|----------------------|--|---|
| R4 | Students demonstrate enjoyment in reading and they find the reading material made available to them interesting. | Purchase material that is more interesting to all students and set up boys reading groups. Complete a students' reading survey. The survey can give us an indication about the type of books the students like to read. Implement new strategies for boys in collaboration with the literacy team and the reading coordinator. | April 2011 | Primary Coordinators Secondary Coordinator | |
| | | Conduct a student survey- year 3-12(five from each class) | | | |
| R3 | Students are actively participating in both Literacy and Numeracy | Continue to work with the Literacy learning support team and the teachers to include engaging activities in their teaching programs. Run a day of literacy and numeracy activities in class linked to a full day of social skills and building relationships with students under the supervision and in collaboration with the Reading Coordinator and Numeracy Support teacher. | June 2011 | Primary Coordinators Secondary Coordinators | -Purchase 300 decorative items and incentives at \$280, 700 handouts at \$2 per handout \$1400 catering \$320 Total |
| | | | | | <u>\$2000</u> |

| R3 | Students are improving in the targeted | Conduct an afterschool Tutoring Club twice a week | April 2011 | Principal's | Tutoring |
|----|---|--|------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| | areas of need in Literacy and Numeracy. | from 3:30 to 5:00pm; parents have indicated in | | Deputies | Target students, in |
| | | their surveys that they need this type of support. | | | groups of 5, will be |
| | | | | Primary | tutored for 3 hours per |
| | | The Tutoring Club will be run by Quality Coaching | | Coordinators | week at \$100 per hour. |
| | | to target literacy and numeracy development for | | | Between both |
| | | selected students | | Secondary | campuses we have 240 |
| | | | | Coordinator | students who are |
| | | Complete survey and evaluate with the parents, | | | eligible for tutoring. |
| | | class teacher and tutoring club teacher. | | | This equates to 12 |
| | | U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U | | | classes, each receiving |
| | | | | | 3 hours per week for 40 |
| | | | | | weeks at \$100 per |
| | | | | | hour. |
| | | | | | 12 classes X \$100 X 40 |
| | | | | | weeks X 3hrs/week + |
| | | | | | 20% Admin Fees set by |
| | | | | | contracted provider |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | In the I Tetal |
| | | | | | Initial Total |
| | | | | | \$172,800 |
| | | | | | Minus contribution |
| | | | | | from School |
| | | | | | <u>-\$160,268</u> |
| | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | <u>\$12,532</u> |

School Priority Area 6: Parent Partnerships

Intended Outcome 2011:

6.1 An increased participation of NESB families in the school curriculum

6.2 Enhanced community relationships.

| Reform | Indicators | Strategies | Timeframe 2011-11 | Responsibility | Resource Allocation and Funding Structure |
|--------|---|--|----------------------|----------------|--|
| R6 | A stronger partnership exists between | Encourage more parents' engagement in | Aug 2011 | Principal's | -Catering: |
| | parents and school community. | the P&C committee. | | Deputies | _\$1000 |
| | Parents are contributing to more school partnerships and decisions through matters such as the homework club where parents will play an active role in organising and participating in its operation. | Organise open days for parents to come into the classroom once a year. Give parents teaching strategy ideas during open-days workshops. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total <u>\$1,000</u> |

| R6 | Increased parents' involvement in preparing | Conduct School Readiness Assessment for | October | Primary | -Purchase |
|----|--|---|----------|-------------------------|---|
| | their children for kindergarten. | new Kindergarten students in Term 3. Kindergarten teachers to conduct assessments. | 2011 | Coordinators | Kindy Orientation and School Readiness Assessment resources |
| | | | | | \$500 |
| | | Run parents sessions in term four over a | | | Parents hand-outs |
| | | four week period for one session per | | | \$500 |
| | | week. | | | -Catering |
| | | Establish contact with local preschools in | | | \$500 -Relief |
| | | preparation for Kindergarten transition programs. | | | Release 5 Kindy teachers from both campuses, 4 days each at \$315 for each relief day 5 X 4 X \$315 \$6,300 -Superannuation \$567 |
| | | | | | Total <u>\$8,367</u> |
| R5 | Parents' views are accounted into planning. The development of a whole school community understanding regarding where the school is and where it is heading in its educational and academic whole school | Conduct annual information and planning workshop for both campuses to discuss 2011 achievements. Invite parents to become more informed and participate in whole school planning. | Dec 2011 | Primary Coordinators | Cost already accounted for in previous section |
| | development. | | | | |